

Why are the XYZ Case Crimes Against The Public So Heinous?

- Defendants used taxpayer resources to attack taxpaying American citizens.
- Defendants did not have one thousand times more resources than plaintiffs, defendants did not have a hundred thousand times more resources than plaintiffs, defendants did not have a million times more resources than plaintiffs, defendants did not have a billion times more resources than plaintiffs, defendants had five billion times more resources than plaintiffs.
- The resources that defendants had came mostly from the state and federal treasuries which were paid for by the plaintiffs.
- Defendants used billions of dollars of State-financed resources to attack and damage plaintiffs around the globe in front of billions of people.
- Defendants sabotaged plaintiffs money, took that same money and put that money in defendants bank vaults.
- Defendants pretend to have “charity foundations” but use those “foundations” as tax evasion some-screens.
- Defendants expended billions of dollars in campaign contributions via search engine rigging and internet campaign marketing manipulation yet never reported those illegal amounts of campaign finance to the FEC.
- In America there are only three options for parties to respond to such attacks on their lives and attempts to kill some of the victims:
 - 1.) The victims can forget about it. This is unlikely since the attacks have damaged the victims for the rest of their lives
 - 2.) The victims can punch the attackers or kill them. This is illegal.
 - 3.) The victims can sue the attackers. This is not affordable for any victim.Law enforcement refuses to make arrests because the defendants pay for the bosses of the law enforcement entities.